threatened with material injury, by reason of imports, or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation, of the subject merchandise.

#### **Public Comment**

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration no later than ten days after the date of issuance of the verification reports, and rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, no later than five days after the time limit for filing the case brief. See 19 C.F.R. 351.309(c)(1)(i); 19 C.F.R. 351.309(d)(1). A list of authorities used and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department. This summary should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. In accordance with section 774 of the Act, we will hold a public hearing, if requested, to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs. Tentatively, any hearing will be held two days after the receipt of the rebuttal briefs at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, at a time and location to be determined. See 19 C.F.R. 351.310(d)(1). Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1870, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. See 19 C.F.R. 351.310(c). Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. At the hearing, each party may make an affirmative presentation only on issues raised in that party's case brief, and may make rebuttal presentations only on arguments included in that party's rebuttal brief. See 19 C.F.R. 351.310(c).

The Department will make its final determination no later than 135 days after the date of publication of this preliminary determination.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 28, 2003.

### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-14343 Filed 6-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

# University of North Carolina, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–018. Applicant: University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599–7295. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai G<sup>2</sup> 12 TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 23979, May 6, 2003. Order Date: May 7, 2002.

Docket Number: 03–020. Applicant: Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM–2010 FasTEM. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 23979, May 6, 2003. Order Date: December 5, 2002.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

# Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 03–14342 Filed 6–5–03; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# **International Trade Administration**

[C-122-815]

## Redetermination Pursuant to NAFTA Panel Remand: Pure Magnesium and Alloy Magnesium From Canada

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Redetermination Pursuant to NAFTA Panel Remand: Pure Magnesium and Alloy Magnesium From Canada.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("Commerce") has prepared these results of redetermination pursuant to the decision of the Binational NAFTA Panel ("Panel") in Alloy Magnesium and Pure Magnesium from Canada, USA-CDA-00-1904-07 (October 15, 2002) ("Panel Decision"). These results pertain to the Department's determination in Alloy Magnesium and Pure Magnesium from Canada: Final Results of Full Sunset Reviews, 65 FR 41444 (July 5, 2000) ("Final Results") that the revocation of the countervailing duty order on pure magnesium and alloy magnesium would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. The Panel remanded this sunset review to Commerce with instructions to amend its determination in this case by removing the reporting of an all others subsidy rate. The Panel affirmed Commerce's final remand determination on January 21, 2003. Accordingly, Commerce hereby amends the sunset review in this case by removing the reporting of an all others subsidy rate.

# EFFECTIVE DATE: June 6, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Joanna Schlesinger or James P. Maeder,
Jr., Office of Policy for Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230;
telephone: (202) 482–4968 or (202) 482–
3330.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Statute and Regulations**

This review is conducted pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act. The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) ("Sunset Regulations") and in 19 CFR part 351 (2002) in general. Guidance on